

Amazon

AWS Service Terms

Change ID · ffa8f31e-15ff-4c58-b19c-4b29c2e41c6b

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-28 13:38:49.475Z	Global	High · 7/10	+1 points

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	38493fdad1820615c99e5074f1342147fcbfad5543b5a61c07ed8ac8d3d1ff45
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-28 13:38:49.450Z	2026-10-15 04:41:14.375Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3
DATA STATUS	Available	a33b28182df8ac8cf2e427695834578ab693eb63e489be9daa69dac202a2fd8c
		2026-10-28 13:38:49.450Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

AWS now uses content from its new Continuum service for AI training by default, with an opt-out, and details abuse detection for AI services.

REASON 1

+1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New AI service content used for model training by default.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "You agree and instruct that for Amazon CodeGuru Profiler, Amazon Comprehend, AWS Continuum (except AWS Continuum for penetration testing, previously named AWS Security Agent), Amazon Lex, Amazon Polly, Amazon Rekognition, Amazon Textract, A"

REASON 2

+0.5 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Explicit mention of human review for abuse detection in AI services.

Related KPI: Content moderation · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

REASON 3

+0.5 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Information about suspected misuse of AWS Continuum may be shared with third parties.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "We may share information, that does not include Your Content, about suspected misuse of AWS Continuum for code vulnerabilities involving a third-party model with the provider of that third-party model."

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Partial Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original AWS Service Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

e4943dd6e99732bcf7b8458fb23201262d224ce9403bea1a6216852391f11a69

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.