Meta
Terms of Service

Change ID · fa92863a-4ba8-4ef9-9500-72fb44bb63c0

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-09-23 17:16:30.952Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-07 19:45:43.971Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V4

a16f6381e16a73a67a4ae74ef5d2a7e1fd3986cfb79980da6f3de86dcecef554

2026-07-28 22:41:06.778Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V5

94c401fe28ed2a40bfbbec42c4f7e0d4bb26d7da1838e6b7d5e25511d73d52c7

2026-09-23 17:16:30.950Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

The updated Meta Terms remove specific rules for automated data collection, potentially increasing Meta's discretion over how data is accessed.

REASON 1 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Reduced transparency on specific terms for automated data collection.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “including by engaging in Automated Data Collection as defined in the Automated Data Collection Terms) without our prior permission, or attempt to access data you do not have permission to access, regardless of whether such automated access”

REASON 2 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Increased Meta discretion due to the removal of detailed external compliance requirements.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “If you engage in any Automated Data Collection, you must also comply with the Automated Data Collection Terms.”

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Potential ambiguity for developers and businesses regarding automated data access guidelines.

Related KPI: Algorithmic transparency · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Terms of Service source still match the recorded public snapshot version 5?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

adf4a39f8119173e728264a8cc3ce76ec56754fd15270aac8ffdd9061cb3ca9d

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.