

Anthropic

Acceptable Use Policy

Change ID · f3173b06-e116-4be0-bda3-272c3a919124

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-09 02:46:14.270Z	Global	Medium · 4/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	cccacc3e6ffc5d09c81742d8c1c67fff20cb861c038ec7c0faad45121f74169c
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-09 02:46:14.264Z	2026-07-06 07:20:09.948Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2
DATA STATUS	Available	707935f726b40d3e3485dc68820b0efa4dee1dccaef23da182b68c3d7bd4ff75
		2026-10-09 02:46:14.267Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

The updated policy significantly tightens rules against harmful AI uses, adding protections against manipulation, NCII, and clarifying security research.

REASON 1

-1.5 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New section combats AI-driven deceptive campaigns and information manipulation.

Related KPI: Content moderation · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "Do Not Engage in Deceptive Campaigns or Artificial Activity"

REASON 2

-1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Expanded prohibitions on surveillance, criminal justice, and NCII enhance user safety.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "Create, distribute, threaten to share, promote, or facilitate the creation of non-consensual intimate imagery ("NCII"), including AI-generated material or tools designed to produce it"

REASON 3

-0.5 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Clarified security research allowances and verification programs balance safety and innovation.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "This section does not prohibit security research, testing, or tool development conducted on systems you own or operate, with the authorization of the system owner, or within the scope of an authorized bug bounty program or vulnerability dis"

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Partial Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original Acceptable Use Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

d261fa03e59a552a44624fd544dc845e47102f5966d701c437f19a4295384986

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.