

Stripe

Services Agreement

Change ID · e71b438b-cf6c-4e7c-b544-d9ac9bec97c9

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-01 19:47:11.938Z	US	High · 7/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1 faf2af70f25041e08c72da1c855b41c9c2c6a2927d04c8b79c8c9f0658e53a74 2026-07-06 07:19:15.933Z
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-01 20:02:49.488Z	
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2 b515d0ee1571110505e323960a118fcbf8da3c6d9df076d1740a290ed8319c93 2026-10-01 19:47:11.931Z
DATA STATUS	Available	

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

New clauses increase user responsibility for AI agent actions, restrict how users can utilize Stripe's data output, and impose stricter data breach notification rules.

REASON 1

+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New AI Agent clause makes users fully liable for AI agent actions.

Related KPI: Automated decisions · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "If User uses an AI Agent to access the Stripe Services, User is solely responsible for each action initiated by or through the AI Agent."

REASON 2

+1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Stricter 48-hour data breach notification for users, requiring detailed information.

Related KPI: Breach notification · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "If User experiences a Data Incident that is reasonably likely to impact Stripe or its Affiliates, User must notify Stripe without undue delay, which will be no later than 48 hours, after becoming aware of the Data Incident."

REASON 3

+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Restrictions on using Stripe Output Data for automated decisions or AI training.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "User must not use Stripe Output Data: (a) as the sole input into User's decision making process (e.g., automated decision making, profiling) about engaging, ceasing to engage, or refraining from engaging in a business relationship with any"

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Mentioned · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Mentioned Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original Services Agreement source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

7cbf0b6d57a176fc9680276a9b03065a7c62c1be85fd5d5a6d2f91df9bd463d8

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.