Amazon

AWS Privacy Notice

Change ID · de058cfc-dc45-417d-a938-ce24c68f4dab

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-19 02:42:39.365Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-19 02:42:39.354Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1

37e5fe252e99b4465108c0d20ede2357086bc798e2103f35ef6b8cec51579c86

2026-10-02 04:54:54.119Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2

e4f9e44072dc19fe548175ccb35ab3a64e967f7cdcd99fe145433f73a0ad9c9d

2026-11-19 02:42:39.354Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

AWS now explicitly requires parental involvement for users under 18, while improving data transfer clarity for South Korea.

REASON 1 — +2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New age restriction for minors (under 18) requires parental involvement.

Related KPI: Consent mechanism · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We don’t provide AWS Offerings for purchase by children. If you’re under 18, you may use AWS Offerings only with the involvement of a parent or guardian.”

REASON 2 — -1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Clarified legal basis for data transfers outside South Korea, improving compliance.

Related KPI: Cross-border transfer · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “AWS may share your personal information with the following third-party service provider(s) (delegatees) outside of Korea as described below, as necessary to enter into or perform our contract with you under Article 28-8(1)3 of the Personal”

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Removed a strong introductory statement about security, a minor stylistic change.

Related KPI: Not recorded · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “#### At AWS, security is our highest priority. We design our systems with your security and privacy in mind.”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Mentioned · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Mentioned

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original AWS Privacy Notice source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

be23d72f68f68eb3ec49bf584343420312cd1a08aed49ff84340c196fa3d74db

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.