Anthropic

Terms of Service

Change ID · cc94dcd8-eca9-46b1-8e0a-1c6a249606f4

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-07 19:38:43.520Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-07 19:38:43.506Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1

958baaf2cf3723f1349e6ad26caffea37a824d4b3ab3acd8a040977a4dd0b8c6

2026-07-06 07:20:18.626Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2

081f4338213068b24ad33688e86e918b58b1babd45b0fac8d6e12b99ee285d43

2026-11-07 19:38:43.506Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

Anthropic's updated Terms of Service contain no material changes, maintaining existing policies on data use, AI training opt-out, and user rights.

REASON 1 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

AI training opt-out has exceptions for feedback and safety reviews.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Even if you opt out, we will use Materials for model training when: (1) you provide Feedback to us regarding any Materials, or (2) your Materials are flagged for safety review to improve our ability to detect harmful content, enforce our po”

REASON 2 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Anthropic can report user data to law enforcement at its sole discretion.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We reserve the right, at our sole discretion, to report information from or about you, including but not limited to Inputs or Outputs, to law enforcement.”

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

No clear data retention periods are specified for general user data.

Related KPI: Data retention · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Terms of Service source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

3fae1d6f8240e4a810f047cc4b33a247c8393a8769c4348632c216c4115bfe54

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.