Microsoft
Privacy Statement

Change ID · be2f44f8-2b14-4c73-bfa4-15d44d157c04

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-09-23 17:16:57.208Z	EU	High · 7/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-08 20:40:33.431Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2

ebb4c380a7ff6968ecdf966c968efd3dbf3248f73f4bb5f5a14735d7a84f5730

2026-08-01 04:33:29.474Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3

d07ae52134ab19eff68fa322c7d3f8e07e051f8000455c5e793590653cb3576c

2026-09-23 17:16:57.194Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

Microsoft's updated policy clarifies device data collection, but still allows extensive data use, including biometrics and AI training, without explicit opt-out.

REASON 1 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Device data collection scope clarified to include website/app interactions.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Device and usage data. Data about your device and product features you use, hardware and software details, product performance, your interactions with our websites and apps, and your settings.”

REASON 2 — +2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Extensive collection of personal data, including biometric identifiers.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “Biometric data. Unique data about you from specific technical processing related to your physical, physiological, or behavioural characteristics to verify or confirm your identity.”

REASON 3 — +3 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

User data may be used to train AI models, including LLMs, without clear opt-out.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “As part of our efforts to improve and develop our products, we may use your data to develop, train, and fine-tune our AI models, including large language models (LLMs).”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Privacy Statement source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

897ba6baaa17c9c33e4096c869871e8663e6ac22098ab175a6c78ca98361a5f4

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.