

OpenAI

Usage Policies

Change ID · b2d5abd7-975d-4f45-8c59-14592b7007cb

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-23 11:40:12.896Z	Global	High · 7/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	6ecf204b1e014f2db25b3fbefcf3c4c2582bffd094ac4400dc09929ae5e475
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-23 11:40:12.884Z	2026-10-02 04:56:55.396Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2
DATA STATUS	Available	7d3127522218e9c7976f0af531dd23c0b7e240cf7f6c34ea1f3675d0533a0deb
		2026-10-23 11:40:12.884Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

New OpenAI policies restrict using outputs to train competing AI models, tighten rules on professional advice, and enhance minor protection.

REASON 1+3 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New restriction on using OpenAI outputs to train competing AI models.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "You must not use OpenAI models or their outputs to generate, prepare, select, or supply training material or signals for models that are intended as alternatives to or substitutes for OpenAI models or services."

REASON 2+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Expanded prohibitions on AI tools providing tailored professional advice.

Related KPI: Automated decisions · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "building or offering AI tools that provide others with tailored advice that requires a license, without appropriate involvement by a licensed professional. You also may not state or imply that the AI itself holds professional credentials or"

REASON 3+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Clarified 'high-stakes actions' and added 'law enforcement' to restricted uses.

Related KPI: Automated decisions · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "automation of high-stakes actions in sensitive areas without human review. This includes actions, decisions, transactions, submissions, and other steps that materially affect a person's rights, safety, access to essential services, or other"

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Opaque Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original Usage Policies source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

ac69e7c407d9eac1a3681769c001dab169ae978ef929218a3362d59c359ff904

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.