Google
Privacy Policy

Change ID · a01305c4-06e9-4113-a4c4-418e4c819740

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-01 19:45:23.788Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-19 02:43:42.896Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2

61d74aa5731363bb1caa708128349f2d4096a6b1ebbce23bf55268c71461a29a

2026-07-24 20:57:08.674Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3

3e68770c74db79945a9864618429780a62729ad217d96163fe55166b24fdc337

2026-10-01 19:45:23.783Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

No changes were found between the old and new Google Privacy Policy versions, maintaining existing data collection and user control practices.

REASON 1 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Extensive data collection across all Google services.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We collect information to provide better services to all our users — from figuring out basic stuff like which language you speak, to more complex things like which ads you’ll find most useful, the people who matter most to you online, or wh”

REASON 2 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Broad sharing of personal data with affiliates and trusted businesses.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We provide personal information to our affiliates and other trusted businesses or persons to process it for us, based on our instructions and in compliance with our Privacy Policy and any other appropriate confidentiality and security measu”

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Domain administrators have significant access to user accounts.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “If you’re a student or work for an organization that uses Google services, your domain administrator and resellers who manage your account will have access to your Google Account.”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Opaque

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Explicit Opt-In · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Privacy Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

a51f78c37e2c94b81d94832e224f685ac685d504cd571042775ee405337eddc1

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.