

Amazon

AWS Service Terms

Change ID · 8a6d7e33-63ec-46e8-9a9f-07d25b686d19

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-15 04:41:14.390Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	2f1c421f22a6f1cbc3ecd385c7e1ad57407b42ff9a346a296c94bf94c3334c8d
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-22 11:38:29.545Z	2026-10-02 04:54:56.297Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2
DATA STATUS	Available	38493fdad1820615c99e5074f1342147fcbfad5543b5a61c07ed8ac8d3d1ff45
		2026-10-15 04:41:14.375Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

The AWS Service Terms received a minor date update with no substantive changes, maintaining existing user responsibilities and data protection frameworks.

REASON 1

0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

AWS can remove content without prior notice for illegal content or service disruption.

Related KPI: Content moderation · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "we may remove or disable access to any Prohibited Content without prior notice in connection with illegal content, where the content may disrupt or threaten the Services or in accordance with applicable law or any judicial, regulatory or ot"

REASON 2

0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Users bear full responsibility for ensuring their AI service use complies with all applicable laws.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "it's your responsibility to ensure that your use complies with all applicable laws, rules, and regulations, and the AWS Responsible AI Policy."

REASON 3

0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Content contributed to AWS projects grants broad, irrevocable licenses to other project members.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "Unless you enter into a license with other parties who have access to the project specifying different terms, you grant each party who has access to the project a nonexclusive, worldwide, irrevocable license to use, reproduce, prepare deriv"

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Partial Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original AWS Service Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

e0bb3949c499b4449f6790c44fb5c9a1da686e77044a9cd4823d3315423241b3

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.