Amazon
AWS Service Terms

Change ID · 854f2f8c-6564-4af7-8843-0584e8593fb0

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-19 02:41:08.946Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-19 02:41:08.920Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V6

69a279bb156f655df59543650d5cb07c68af4e0fa2d38c88272ff14691137183

2026-11-06 19:40:34.201Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V7

524c739472e2c94c20a0a8b9d3cf4f7ffe1178f4274be46bc8306c26d5425cd8

2026-11-19 02:41:08.920Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

AWS expanded the list of AI services whose data may be used for model improvement, but users retain the option to opt-out.

REASON 1 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Expanded AI data usage scope for service improvement.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “You agree and instruct that for Amazon CodeGuru Profiler, Amazon Comprehend, AWS Continuum (excluding Continuum for penetration testing, previously named AWS Security Agent), Amazon Lex, Amazon Polly, Amazon Rekognition, Amazon Textract, Am”

REASON 2 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

AI content may be stored in regions outside the user's primary AWS region.

Related KPI: Cross-border transfer · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “solely in connection with the development and improvement described in clauses (a) and (b), we may store such AI Content in an AWS region outside of the AWS region where you are using such AI Service.”

REASON 3 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Users bear primary responsibility for AI compliance and output review.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “You are responsible for determining whether your use of AWS Professional Services, including artificial intelligence or machine learning Services, features, and functionality (including third-party models) that we may provide to you (each,”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Explicit Opt-In · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original AWS Service Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 7?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

65173154eab5ea8d7e22f1d8210bdeb0c5dfe939f2c4796484d74f90aeb31fb1

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.