Microsoft
Privacy Statement

Change ID · 845af367-8a9c-4e81-a516-48fd73b77d0e

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-10 21:41:57.248Z	Global	High · 7/10	+3 points

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-10 21:41:57.230Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V3

9e926972399f575385a7e5ce858a241e040cf216702544060ac870cb4b135c24

2026-09-23 17:17:22.466Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V4

758c8fea9383e7729144e1e5fb83c17ce6de5a1fda22f99a023a8a64a5fd2300

2026-11-10 21:41:57.230Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

Microsoft's updated policy expands data collection from third-party workplace sources, slightly increasing privacy risk despite clearer controls for linked mobile data.

REASON 1 — +2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New third-party data source for workplace and productivity data.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Companies that provide workplace and productivity data for research, development, and improvement of our products and services.”

REASON 2 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Expanded device data collection details, including device age.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Device, connectivity, and configuration data. For example, IP addresses, age of the device, device identifiers and type, device language and locale settings, nearby networks, and other information about the operating systems and other softw”

REASON 3 — +0.5 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Clarification on continued use of basic data for offers after opt-out.

Related KPI: Consent mechanism · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “If you choose to turn off Personalized offers or stop the use of data from other Microsoft products, we may use basic account data and contextual info about your device to avoid showing you generic tips, ads, and recommendations that are no”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Explicit Opt-In · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Privacy Statement source still match the recorded public snapshot version 4?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

839c72af66cf424a98033b11be18e68fff5691c44c25adfa58d6545f2bece032

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.