Anthropic

K-12 Education Terms

Change ID · 785856ac-8be6-42fa-9e5a-5c5c701051d5

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-07 19:40:58.504Z	US	Medium · 6/10	+4 points

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-07 19:40:58.492Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2

ccd678e34f13b379c7801b073a2706aedc3574a352b0955d9535b16865ad3794

2026-10-24 12:41:45.307Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3

ba0ecd8e43b41f5233222fa6e1c6f58c413e1ee74b9f8bfe177411c61c83895a

2026-11-07 19:40:58.492Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

No material changes were detected in Anthropic's U.S. K-12 Education Terms; the policy maintains its existing data privacy and ownership clauses.

REASON 1 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

No material changes were detected between the old and new policy versions.

Related KPI: Not recorded · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

REASON 2 — -1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Anthropic's liability is capped, limiting customer recourse for certain damages.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “the liability of each party, and its affiliates and licensors, for any damages arising out of or related to these Terms (i) excludes damages that are consequential, incidental, special, indirect, or exemplary damages, including lost profits”

REASON 3 — -1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Mandatory arbitration waives jury trial and class action rights for disputes.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: old

Verified exact source passage: “EACH PARTY AGREES THEY ARE WAIVING THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY, AND THE RIGHT TO JOIN AND PARTICIPATE IN A CLASS ACTION, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED UNDER THE LAW IN CONNECTION WITH THESE TERMS.”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Certified · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Certified

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original K-12 Education Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

286ac7fd3458c301e222fb2ecc0b31ec3878272f731901a0c6389492cea0d2ee

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.