Anthropic
Commercial Terms

Change ID · 60af251c-4b13-4020-b43a-65023fc64fb5

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-09 20:40:37.517Z	Global	Low · 2/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-09 20:40:37.506Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2

5491fe277b5d413f04f4bd8d45ebb069edeb28c8ab078aac4bf7c079e8ca77ce

2026-10-22 11:40:40.709Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3

e694bf0e3d9c30fc29d5b478658fb06d06ba86f386a772765395fb777ce90eca

2026-11-09 20:40:37.506Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

Anthropic's updated commercial terms retain strong user IP ownership and data privacy protections with no major risk shifts.

REASON 1 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Anthropic may update terms with 30 days notice or immediately for legal changes.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Anthropic may update these Terms at any time, to be effective 30 days after the updates are posted by Anthropic or Customer otherwise receives Notice, except that updates made in response to changes to law or regulation take effect immediat”

REASON 2 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Service suspensions can occur without liability for customer data or profit loss.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Anthropic will have no liability for any damage, liabilities, losses (including any loss of data or profits), or any other consequences that Customer may incur because of a Service Suspension.”

REASON 3 — +1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Anthropic retains broad discretion to suspend access for suspected policy breaches.

Related KPI: Content moderation · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Anthropic may suspend Customer’s access to any portion or all of the Services if: (a) Anthropic reasonably believes or determines that (i) there is a risk to or attack on any of the Services; (ii) Customer or any User is using the Services”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Transparent

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Explicit Opt-In · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Commercial Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

90e245395545fdef68290402e1f67132b874f7a5f3278eb6d039323b068974db

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.