

Amazon

AWS Service Terms

Change ID · 5fb011f8-bcba-43c2-917f-f3844bd6448e

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-31 16:40:34.167Z	Global	Medium · 4/10	-3 points

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V3
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	a33b28182df8ac8cf2e427695834578ab693eb63e489be9daa69dac202a2fd8c
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-31 16:40:34.138Z	2026-10-28 13:38:49.450Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V4
DATA STATUS	Available	28473c5a7d7f00644663ca883f818372d3d6553ba79ec8c9a1c312ddcfe43c6d
		2026-10-31 16:40:34.138Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

AWS added strict non-payment termination terms and restricted the use of EC2 Capacity Manager data.

REASON 1+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New non-payment termination clause allows AWS to close accounts and terminate resources.

Related KPI: Data retention · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "If you fail to pay amounts owed when due under your Agreement, AWS has the right to limit resource usage, suspend your account(s), terminate active resources, and close your account(s)."

REASON 2+2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Strict prohibitions on disclosing or competing with EC2 Capacity Manager Data.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "You may use EC2 Capacity Manager Data solely for your internal capacity planning and workload management purposes."

REASON 3+1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Reference to external Non-Payment and Service Continuity Terms for procedures.

Related KPI: Not recorded · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "These rights and steps you can take to prepare, including backing up Your Content, are described in the AWS Non-Payment and Service Continuity Terms (or any successor URL)."

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

<div><div>EU AI Act</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>Official Journal text, 2024</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?</div><div>AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned</div><div>Framework source</div></div>	<div><div>ISO/IEC 42001</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?</div><div>Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Mentioned · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive</div><div>Framework source</div></div>
<div><div>NIST AI RMF</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?</div><div>Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Content moderation: Transparent</div><div>Framework source</div></div>	<div><div>OECD AI Principles</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>OECD AI Principles, updated 2024</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?</div><div>Consent mechanism: Explicit Opt-In · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Mentioned · Independent audit: Mentioned</div><div>Framework source</div></div>

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original AWS Service Terms source still match the recorded public snapshot version 4?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

255f8b6d253a0764dc72a17133d0ff7fbbaafb13fcc65d169ab5beec388ea97

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.