

Amazon

AWS Customer Agreement

Change ID · 5a7ffc2-bee3-4d3c-9e4f-6162558fe211

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-31 03:56:56.509Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01

Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	<div>PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1</div> <div>67ad5f05c29aba9eac158d2fe0b446bb0e73c670b84c71ffeedc0cc18263ff2e</div> <div>2026-10-02 04:54:52.710Z</div>
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-10-31 03:56:56.499Z	
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	<div>CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2</div> <div>d614cdbda964cb1cd0513eede34725cdb87657bhead7157a3333bf1bc1f0962c</div> <div>2026-10-31 03:56:56.499Z</div>
DATA STATUS	Available	

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02

Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

The updated AWS agreement clarifies payment terms, requiring timely invoice payment and authorizing automatic charges to your default payment method.

REASON 1

-1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Explicit authorization to charge default payment method.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "you authorize us to charge your default payment method for the invoiced amount."

REASON 2

-1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New mandatory payment of invoices by due date.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "You must pay all invoices by the due date set forth on the invoice"

REASON 3

-1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Increased clarity on AWS's payment enforcement mechanisms.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

<div><div>EU AI Act</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>Official Journal text, 2024</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?</div><div>Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent</div><div>Framework source</div></div>	<div><div>ISO/IEC 42001</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?</div><div>Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial</div><div>Framework source</div></div>
<div><div>NIST AI RMF</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?</div><div>Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Opaque</div><div>Framework source</div></div>	<div><div>OECD AI Principles</div><div>MAPPED EVIDENCE</div><div>OECD AI Principles, updated 2024</div><div>Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?</div><div>Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent</div><div>Framework source</div></div>

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original AWS Customer Agreement source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

6bfdc00e38241d7e34401b15ea7577a86e0180536a229a4736e16eb9263e1f73

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.