X (Twitter)

Terms of Service

Change ID · 3c6dfbb5-a0fa-4edb-81b0-62bb9203baa0

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-09-23 17:20:48.877Z	Global	High · 8/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-07 19:44:51.415Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1

e5839bdfa490e9f83b365dff39b3534debfdf4d39c200d597132d071138dbe8e

2026-07-06 07:20:26.843Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2

5810170daf41610403b6ab7147cf822b9691a2bdd52071cdd94d07459a97cd9d

2026-09-23 17:20:48.872Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

New X terms introduce mandatory Texas arbitration and class action waivers for non-EU/UK users, and expand user responsibility for AI-generated content.

REASON 1 — -3 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

New dispute resolution and class action waiver for non-EU/UK users.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Unless you are a recipient of the X Service in the European Union, EFTA States, or the United Kingdom, any disputes between you and us will be resolved by a court in Texas, based on Texas law except that if the forum selection clause in the”

REASON 2 — -2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Expanded user responsibility for AI-generated content and autonomous actions.

Related KPI: AI output ownership · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “You are responsible for your use of the Services, including any features that perform autonomous actions, and for any Content, including anything referenced therein, you provide, create, post, or otherwise utilize, including any inputs, pro”

REASON 3 — -1 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Delayed policy effective date creates a long period of uncertainty for users.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “This version of the Terms of Service will go into effect on October 9, 2026. Until then, the current Terms of Service continue to apply.”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Terms of Service source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

e01ba869a594636ca628e300992da9955fe8de97d619351a04d9b4ce63d4d82f

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.