OpenAI
Privacy Policy

Change ID · 36ec1a14-399c-4961-b2f1-aeb51e8b0b92

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-09 02:45:00.114Z	Global	High · 7/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-23 10:40:28.324Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1

2f2f693d8e75320f5079f1160cb3ed5343f2f9bec082c4afea1762928ea09e32

2026-10-02 04:56:50.099Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2

da5ee101be311da6c45cb1dd8817e00f678677d5e71166c24059a19ec4336ff1

2026-10-09 02:45:00.110Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

No changes were detected in the updated policy, maintaining existing data collection, usage, and sharing practices with user opt-out options.

REASON 1 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Extensive data collection from various sources, including publicly available internet data.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We also collect information from other sources, like information that is publicly available on the internet, to develop the models that power our Services.”

REASON 2 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Broad sharing of personal data with numerous third parties and government authorities.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Flexible data retention periods with exceptions for legal and security reasons.

Related KPI: Data retention · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “In some cases, we need to retain Personal Data for longer even after you delete it, for example because we are legally required to, to address fraud and abuse, for security reasons, or for financial record-keeping purposes.”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Opaque

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Privacy Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

ba8b6e83c29a3324a40c25731528d1f846358d655aa8a36dd9aef890f9188a86

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.