

TikTok

Privacy Policy

Change ID · 245f8e52-2b6c-4d4e-8565-600f69b8a78d

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-09-24 16:39:32.041Z	EU	Medium · 7/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published	PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval	789e875ec54faa26ba20b091dcae75e06ef67b80f2dd17922745f381d2b05dd1
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-09-24 16:39:32.034Z	2026-07-06 07:20:56.511Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct	CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2
DATA STATUS	Available	3a6bbd7afaf7b77774d876a3cec3527e25a5b72f6f8556bbb89dc67d69b34a61
		2026-09-24 16:39:32.038Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

TikTok's Privacy Policy remains unchanged, continuing extensive data collection and sharing practices, including using user content for AI training.

REASON 10 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Extensive data collection, including clipboard and inferred information.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

REASON 20 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Broad sharing of user data with various third parties and advertisers.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "We share limited information which may include Information You Provide, Technical Information, and Usage Information with third party platforms and partners whose platform or services are integrated with the Platform, or with whom we have a"

REASON 30 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

User content is used for AI model training without a clear opt-out.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: "Review, improve, and develop the Platform, including by monitoring interactions and usage across your devices, analysing how people are using it, and by training, testing, and improving our technology, such as our machine learning models an"

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act MAPPED EVIDENCE Official Journal text, 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review? AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent Framework source	ISO/IEC 42001 MAPPED EVIDENCE ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance? Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive Framework source
NIST AI RMF MAPPED EVIDENCE AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29 Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions? Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial Framework source	OECD AI Principles MAPPED EVIDENCE OECD AI Principles, updated 2024 Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review? Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

- 01 Does the original Privacy Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?
- 02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?
- 03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?
- 04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

- Original public change
- Confidence methodology
- Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

b3b380a9ac8636b28113dae70b4784783e265b9ac73f64cf4dff5c1cb59e79fb

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.