TikTok
Privacy Policy

Change ID · 245f8e52-2b6c-4d4e-8565-600f69b8a78d

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-09-24 16:39:32.041Z	EU	High · 7/10	Baseline

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-09 20:42:03.952Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	renderer
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V1

789e875ec54faa26ba20b091dcae75e06ef67b80f2dd17922745f381d2b05dd1

2026-07-06 07:20:56.511Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V2

3a6bbd7afaf7b77774d876a3cec3527e25a5b72f6f8556bbb89dc67d69b34a61

2026-09-24 16:39:32.038Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

TikTok's Privacy Policy remains unchanged, continuing extensive data collection and sharing practices, including using user content for AI training.

REASON 1 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Extensive data collection, including clipboard and inferred information.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: Not recorded

Source passage not recorded. An unverified candidate passage is never displayed.

REASON 2 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Broad sharing of user data with various third parties and advertisers.

Related KPI: Third-party sharing · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “We share limited information which may include Information You Provide, Technical Information, and Usage Information with third party platforms and partners whose platform or services are integrated with the Platform, or with whom we have a”

REASON 3 — 0 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

User content is used for AI model training without a clear opt-out.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “Review, improve, and develop the Platform, including by monitoring interactions and usage across your devices, analysing how people are using it, and by training, testing, and improving our technology, such as our machine learning models an”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Partial · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Privacy Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 2?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

ce5c0cec4090ca8d20c82896e2181ac556016e35f829252662e28c7ac04e62aa

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.