X (Twitter)
Terms of Service

Change ID · 0f0b5d8a-a1c2-4b58-9dc9-5048ea871508

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-10-11 03:43:27.489Z	Global	Medium · 6/10	+3 points

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-25 11:42:19.302Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V3

dbf213c2130ff856c3ad554f8f6ec6e16e03191188e4acf97a3545e74ea8fd5e

2026-10-01 19:52:57.936Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V4

758cc252544ef918a36a3fd12daf78b68a81df07910a67d57ada7a8b7d681b00

2026-10-11 03:43:27.483Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

X's updated terms grant the company broad rights to use your posts and data for training AI models without compensation.

REASON 1 — +4 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Mandatory AI training license allows X to use all submitted content for machine learning.

Related KPI: AI training opt-out · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “for use with and training of our machine learning and artificial intelligence models, whether generative or another type”

REASON 2 — +3 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Strict limitation of liability caps user claims at $100 USD or past six months of fees.

Related KPI: Regulatory compliance · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “our aggregate liability shall not exceed the greater of $100 USD or the amount you paid us, if any, in the past six months”

REASON 3 — +2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Forced Texas forum selection clause for non-EU/UK users restricts legal challenges.

Related KPI: Cross-border transfer · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “any disputes between you and us will be resolved by a court in Texas, based on Texas law”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent · Regulatory compliance: Partial

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · Automated decisions: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Content moderation: Partial

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Implicit · Algorithmic transparency: Opaque · AI bias and fairness: Absent · Independent audit: Absent

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Terms of Service source still match the recorded public snapshot version 4?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

c2aa0af4b065c3f38726f20c3b2449798e2b9aa336c75bab95fe21f8b62e32fa

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.