Anthropic

Non-User Privacy Policy

Change ID · 00ea4d5e-288b-4d65-a4cf-c1ebf1433015

SCREENING DATE	JURISDICTION	RISK SCREENING	SCORE TRACE
2026-11-07 19:41:31.109Z	Global	Medium · 5/10	0, unchanged

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.

01 Source Confidence

SANITIZED PUBLIC VIEW

PUBLICATION GATE	published
RETRIEVAL STATE	verified-retrieval
LATEST RETRIEVAL	2026-11-07 19:41:31.101Z
SANITIZED CHANNEL	direct
DATA STATUS	Available

PREVIOUS SNAPSHOT · V2

5280b5dd650aa5d07a2b0923b2277b10ae988cf6144542e5012b0d99f9f03ee7

2026-10-22 11:42:18.898Z

CURRENT SNAPSHOT · V3

7c0d4889bead53b7130809115a3f147a382f7dc1ec7d74d135f84c99e9734253

2026-11-07 19:41:31.101Z

Source confidence describes recorded retrieval and publication state. It does not rate the provider policy or certify source authenticity.

02 Explainability

SOURCE-ANCHORED TRACE

Anthropic clarifies how web data and non-user personal information are processed for AI model training under legitimate interests.

REASON 1 — +3 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Collects public internet data which may incidentally contain personal information.

Related KPI: Data collection · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “When we gather this material, we are not looking for information about particular individuals, but some of it will incidentally contain personal information”

REASON 2 — +4 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Relies on legitimate interests for training models without explicit user consent.

Related KPI: Consent mechanism · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “It is in our legitimate interests to train and improve our AI models.”

REASON 3 — +2 SCORE CONTRIBUTION

Retains data as long as necessary for model training and future versions.

Related KPI: Data retention · Snapshot side: new

Verified exact source passage: “how long it remains necessary for training, testing, maintaining and improving our models, including future versions”

03 Governance Relevance

ADVISORY MAPPING

EU AI Act

MAPPED EVIDENCE

Official Journal text, 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, automated decisions, data use and human oversight review?

AI training opt-out: Not Available · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Committed

Framework source

ISO/IEC 42001

MAPPED EVIDENCE

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 overview

Which recorded policy statements may inform an AI management-system review of transparency, risk oversight and independent assurance?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Committed · Independent audit: Mentioned · Regulatory compliance: Comprehensive

Framework source

NIST AI RMF

MAPPED EVIDENCE

AI RMF 1.0; NIST revision in progress, checked 2026-07-29

Which recorded policy statements may support Govern, Map, Measure or Manage review questions?

Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · Automated decisions: Transparent · AI bias and fairness: Committed · Content moderation: Transparent

Framework source

OECD AI Principles

MAPPED EVIDENCE

OECD AI Principles, updated 2024

Which recorded policy statements may be relevant to transparency, fairness, accountability and user agency review?

Consent mechanism: Opt-Out · Algorithmic transparency: Mentioned · AI bias and fairness: Committed · Independent audit: Mentioned

Framework source

Mappings identify review relevance between recorded PolicyWatcher KPI fields and framework topics. They are not legal interpretations, conformity assessments, certifications or compliance verdicts.

04 Report Output

HUMAN REVIEW

QUESTIONS BEFORE REUSE

01 Does the original Non-User Privacy Policy source still match the recorded public snapshot version 3?

02 Do the cited source passages support each displayed reason, KPI value and regional note?

03 Which advisory framework topics require specialist legal, risk or governance review for this use case?

04 Has a later public change superseded this packet before it is reused in a decision or publication?

RELATED RECORDS

Original public change

Confidence methodology

Provider policy source

PACKET CONTENT DIGEST · SHA-256

447d9b16afe7fb2f635030303138518f8ec0fa7593bcab57ce4892a9dac4041a

Score reasons and deltaScore values are stored AI-assisted screening outputs. Verified anchors confirm only that the quoted passage occurs in the named snapshot; they do not prove the interpretation.

This packet records PolicyWatcher evidence and AI-assisted screening for one public change. It is not legal advice, a compliance verdict, a certification, or proof that the external source remains unchanged.